UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,011	06/29/2006	Will A. Egner	CER-001	4100
25962 SLATER & MA	7590 09/27/201 ATSIL, L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
17950 PRESTO	ON RD, SUITE 1000		BAIRD, EDWARD J	
DALLAS, TX 75252-5793			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Com
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/585,011
Review	Ed Daind

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/585,011	EGNER ET AL.	
Ed Baird	3695	
	-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Rev	riew filed 10 August 2011.				
 Improper Request – The Regression reason(s): 	Request is improper ar	nd a conference will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment is☐ Other: .	ude reasons why a re					
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.						
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because the ief in accordance with from mailing this deception of appeal, whiche 7 CFR 1.136 based up	ferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant a 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the pon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date				
The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10, 22-3 Claim(s) withdrawn from core	31, 43-44 and 53-58.	aim(s) is as follows:				
		held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of nains closed. No further action is required by				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A caction will be mailed. No further a		neld. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office pplicant at this time.				
All participants:						
(1) <u>Ed Baird</u> .		(3) <u>Charles Kyle</u> .				
(2) <u>Vincent Millin</u> .		(4) <u>Vincent Millin /vm/</u> <u>Appeals Conference Specialist</u> .				
/Ed Baird/ Examiner, Art Unit 3695	/CHARLES KYLE/ Supervisory Patent E Unit 3695	Examiner, Art				